JAKUB ELEGAŃCZYK

Some remarks on the justification of the judgment of the Supreme Court of November 6, 2012 (ref. V KK 139/12)

In this commentary the author criticizes the justification of the judgment of the Supreme Court of 6 November 2012 (ref. V KK 139/12). The Court changed the contested judgment of life imprisonment by imposing stricter restriction to be released on parole (after completing 40 years of imprisonment). According to the author such conclusion violates *ne peius* rule. The Court misinterpreted art. 454 § 3 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by limiting himself only to the linguistic interpretation, without reference to its underlying values.

Keywords: commentary, ne peius rule, life imprisonment